UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,568	06/17/2005	Kimitaka Watanabe	14191114	4487
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 04/08/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		RADA, ALEX P		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/539,568	WATANABE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEX P. RADA	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication арр Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-8,11-13,and18-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)X Claim(s) <u>5,6,9,10,14-17 and 22-25</u> is/are object						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
·—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<u> </u>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/17/05</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

In response to a preliminary amendment filed June 17, 2005 wherein applicant amends claims 3-10, adds new claims 11-25 and claims 1-25 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 63-100099.

Regarding claims 1-2, JP-63 discloses a moving toy comprising: a plurality of control coils (figures 1-4; wherein a plurality of coils are shown); a control device to control conduction to the plurality of control coils (figures 2-4); and a moving body provided with a magnet, the moving body being operated through the control of the conduction (figures 2-4; wherein the moving body is a vehicle shown and being operated through the control of the conduction shown); and wherein the moving body is s figure of any one of a living body, a vehicle, a production, and a visual scene (figures 2-3; wherein a vehicle is shown).

3. Claims 1, 3, 7, 11 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (US 6,148,770).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 3, Lin discloses a moving toy comprising: a plurality of control coils (figures 1-4; wherein items 23 are considered to be the plurality of coils shown); a control device to control conduction to the plurality of control coils (figures 2-4; wherein item 22 is

considered to by the control device shown); and a moving body provided with a magnet, the moving body being operated through the control of the conduction (figures 1-4; wherein the moving body is a jellyfish shown and being operated through the control of the conduction shown); and wherein the moving body is s figure of any one of a living body, a vehicle, a production, and a visual scene (figures 2-3; wherein a jellyfish is shown).

Regarding claim 7, 11 and 18-19, Lin discloses wherein a plurality of moving bodies is included (figures 1 and 3; wherein a plurality of moving bodies are shown).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 8, 12-13 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (US 6,148,770) in view of Roman et al. (US 6,116,982).

Regarding claims 4, 8, 12-13 and 20-21, Lin discloses the claimed invention as discussed above but is silent in regards to a light-emitting diode which are provided to the moving body, wherein induced electromotive force is generated at the coil through the control of the conduction to the control coils performed by the control device, to turn on the light-emitting diode.

Roman teaches a moving toy wherein a light is turned on or off through the control of the conduction by the moving body (col. 4, lines 49-54). By having an LED turn on or off through

movement of the moving body, one of ordinary skill in the art would provide a toy to become more amusing, entertaining and educational for the user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Lin to include a light-emitting diode which are provided to the moving body, wherein induced electromotive force is generated at the coil through the control of the conduction to the control coils performed by the control device, to turn on the light-emitting diode as taught by Roman to provide a toy to become more amusing, entertaining and educational for the user.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-6, 9-10, 14-17 and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX P. RADA whose telephone number is (571)272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,568

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert E Pezzuto/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Robert E. Pezzuto Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3714

/A. P. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 3714